\_\_\_\_\_

Language in India <a href="www.languageinindia.com">www.languageinindia.com</a> ISSN 1930-2940 Vol. 19:2 February 2019 India's Higher Education Authority UGC Approved List of Journals Serial Number 49042

## Relativity between Reality and the Imaginary: Oscar Wilde, Galsworthy and the Character of Dancy – A Review

Arun Daves, A., M.A., M.A., M.Phil. (Corresponding author) Ph.D. Scholar, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu, India lovewitharun@gmail.com

Dr. A. Selvaraj, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D. Research Supervisor Associate Professor, Department of English, Annamalai University

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **Abstract**

This article intends to take a close relativity between the reality of a renowned artist and a fictional character Dancy in *Loyalties* and the creator of the character Galsworthy. It is not easy for the realm of English Literature to wave away the great scandal of the late nineteenth century that spread on the artist who was in peak of his career, Oscar Wilde. The court prosecutions between Oscar Wilde and John Douglas was at last against Wilde who himself admits his guilt and was taken into prison. The same events are seen in the play *Loyalties* in the imagination of Galsworthy. Dancy who was in allegation with De Lewis besides all his loyal surroundings was found guilty in the court and admits his theft and finds his own destruction through a gun shot. The creator of the character Galsworthy is too found guilt as the same for maintaining a relationship with his cousin's wife whom he married later. All the three the model, the creator and the character had their day in the court of England. The aim of this paper is to explore the relativity between them by having a side by side analysis of all the three.

## Introduction

The process of this article is to follow side by side the occasion of an extraordinary English scandal of the well celebrated Oscar Wilde and John Douglas, 9th Marquess of Queensberry in the late nineteenth Century, Captain Ronald Dancy and the plot of 'Loyalties' a play made somewhere in the range of twenty years after the fact, and a couple of episodes simultaneous with the outrage in the private existence of Galsworthy writer of the play. It is trusted that such a parallel perusing will exhibit by and by the general recommendation that somehow the innovative craftsman transmutes his experience into the practical material of his specialty. For shape isn't the end-all in the craft of playwriting. There must be an idea to be shaped and the nature of that issue goes to the simple idea of the character created. This transmutation of experience to creativity is a dark field yet the dimness which blankets the demonstration of creation does not deny the procedure. It is unmistakable as the individual is. Furthermore, it binds all fine arts to no less than one human employ, the important diction of the literary artist.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Language in India <a href="www.languageinindia.com">www.languageinindia.com</a> ISSN 1930-2940 19:2 February 2019

Arun Daves, A., M.A., M.A., M.Phil. and Dr. A. Selvaraj, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D. Research Supervisor Relativity between Reality and the Imaginary: Oscar Wilde, Galsworthy and the Character of Dancy – A Review

47

The play to be analysed is *Loyalties* by John Galsworthy. It was composed in 1921. It recounts the tale of Ronald Dancy, a youthful, all around reproduced honourable man, as of late wedded and exceptionally poor, who loots a kindred visitor at a local gathering. The burglary had required a demonstration of awesome physical capacity and no little measure of fearlessness with respect to the criminal, and Dancy isn't associated by the rest with the visitors, both because of his social standing and due to the idea of the theft. Just the casualty, DeLevis, suspects, and he won't surrender his confidence in Dancy's blame. DeLevis blames Dancy for the burglary in a club to which they both have a place. The authorities of the club, together with his better half and companions, induce Dancy to sue DeLevis for criticize. This he does, not telling his legal advisors of his blame. DeLevis wins the suit when it winds up obvious that Dancy has taken the cash to pay off a fancy woman whom he had kept before his marriage. Because of the preliminary's result Dancy is left at risk to criminal indictment. He is encouraged to escape the nation however this he declines to do. In-stead, when the police come to take him, he slaughters himself.

The play gets its name from a relatively geometrical exhibition of clashing loyalties as they show up in different gathering connections. To begin with, the house-visitor and host adjust themselves against DeLevis, who isn't of their class and who has ascribed a burglary to one of the gatherings. At that point, when DeLevis expresses the allegation at the club, a less nearly sew gathering, the club requests a last arrangement of the issue. This powers Dancy to go to law. Having placed himself in this position, Dancy is without resistance, for the courts and their officers, and all reputable subjects, are compelled by a solemn obligation to help a genuine result of the issue at law. Once having lost his case, Dancy is helpless before the state, for he is presently a presumed criminal and each man's hand is compelled by a solemn obligation against him.

This example is put forward in a most particular grouping of legitimate activities. Dancy is influenced to sue DeLevis for criticize, the defamation being an allegation that Dancy carried out a wrongdoing. DeLevis' resistance is reality of his allegation, and in his own particular barrier he demonstrates its fact and, in this manner, gives the British Government a completely created criminal body of evidence against Dancy. This succession of initial a common and afterward, as a result, a criminal activity, is a perfect peripety, a tables-turned circumstance in which the seeker turns into the chased, the prosecutor the indicted. What's more, this grouping has its actual partner in one of the embarrassments generally Victorian England. It is the preliminaries of Oscar Wilde.

In 1895 Oscar Wilde was at the tallness of his vocation. Not yet forty and with a First in Classics from Oxford behind him he had distributed books, verse, kids' stories and had two effective plays running all the while in the West End. It was ahead of schedule in this year that the Marquess of Queensberry, in the wake of blowing hot and chilly in his mentality toward Wilde's companionship with his child, Lord Alfred Douglas, concluded that he was much put upon by Wilde and that he, Queensberry, would strike back by posting Wilde for what he was, a sexual deviant. This he did by giving a note to the concierge of a club to which Wilde had a place. The note contained the charge and the custodian read the note, which had been left unlocked. Wilde, upon come back to his club, was conveyed the note. His first tendency was to disregard the issue, however upon the asking

of his companions he chose to sue Queensberry for defamation. Queensberry safeguarded himself with incredible genius, exhibiting observers of the most minimal good and social character to demonstrate his putative slander genuine. Wilde had been liable and had misled his attorneys. His attorney everything except surrendered the brief for the situation, and the jury acquired a choice for Queensberry. From that point the Home Office initiated criminal procedures against Wilde. Knowing this would be the outcome, different companions had asked Wilde to escape to the Continent to escape indictment. This he declined to do. He was from that point attempted twice on criminal accusations, the primary preliminary having finished in a hung jury, and indicted. He served his sentence first at Wandsworth Prison and from that point at Reading Gaol. Upon discharge he went to the Continent where in 1904 he passed on.

The time of Wilde's tribulations was from 1895 until his passing in Paris in 1904. Amid this entire time, he was much in the cognizance of a decent fragment of the proficient British open. His preliminaries had been thought out of line by numerous and his self-banish in Paris was a consistent suggestion to such of these as set out to the Continent. It is these long stretches of Wilde's preliminaries, repression, and outcast which were most vital in the development of John Galsworthy as an author. Of them Galsworthy later stated, "Live first, write afterwards. I had seen, unselfconsciously, a good deal of life before I began to write, but even at twenty-eight I began too young. The spiritually stressful years of my life came between then (1895) and 1904". (H. V. Marrot, The Life and Letters of John Galsworthy,) p. 137.

Ada Galsworthy (Nee Cooper) had hitched into a guarantee line of John Galsworthy's close family in April of 1891, just before Galsworthy, still at remaining details, however now an attorney, betook himself upon a progression of sea voyages to consider sea law. Upon his arrival Galsworthy found that his cousin by marriage was most despondent and that she had the most profound of sensitivity from the more youthful individuals from John's close family, his sisters, Lily and Mabel. Condition tossed John and Ada together and propinquity was prevailing by a profound kinship which was from that point supplanted by an unpredictable association in the late spring of 1895. The couple were under the most extreme limitation since both concurred that nothing should be possible to rectify matters until the death of Galsworthy's father. This possibility came upon in 1904. Once they started living together Ada's husband Arthur litigated for divorce.

John Galsworthy began to compose after he had turned out to be captivated of Ada Galsworthy commendable. It is with evidence that it was at her proposal that he initially sought to be a creator. In April of 1895 Ada had calmly stated, "Why don't you write? You're just the person—" (*Ibid.*, p. 101). And later in a primer draft of a commitment of the Forsythe Saga Galsworthy composed of his significant other "...without whose instigation, sympathy, interest and criticism my 'obscure inner necessity' might never have pushed through the mufflement of circumstance and made me a writer—such as I am." (*Ibid.*, p. 104). His apprenticeship was the length of his undertaking with Ada Galsworthy. That these years were developmental there can be no uncertainty.

-----

In the human experience time is estimated by change, yet change isn't regularly as estimated as the human experience could wish. Along these lines, it frequently happens that a man's history is so part by the flood of outside occasions or the upwelling of individual action, or both, that a here and now of years turns into a bay crosswise over which he thinks back as toward a primordial point in his life expectancy. The initial two decades of the Twentieth Century were such a period of outer situation. The contest of events, coming around the World War I, need not be brought again. These years matched with Galsworthy's evolution and progress. Being a late Victorian, an individual emergency in his life transformed him into an effective Edwardian writer, and an emergency in Europe developed him as a modest and simple literary artist.

During the winter of 1920-21 while at San Ysidro, California, John Galsworthy imagined the idea of the play Loyalties which he composed the accompanying summer upon his arrival to England. Of the work Galsworthy remarks, "During the summer Loyalties was written, the germ of which was contracted at Santa Barbara. This was the only play of mine of which I was able to say when I finished it: 'No manager will refuse this.' " (*Ibid.*, p. 508).

Galsworthy's judgment that the play would not be declined by the administrators had a more profound establishment than a minor information of what West End chiefs were searching for. Some place in the written work of Loyalties he had explored the display of the fall of Oscar Wilde, and this, in Galsworthy's impecunious and love-created developmental years, had been no mean scene. Wilde had been at the tallness of his forces. He had been sought and petted. What he needed in regard from the overall population and from its social bosses he increased back among the individuals who assumed some information of expressions of the human experience. Wilde may have appeared to Galsworthy a kind of nine days ponder, at the same time, having been brought up to progress, Galsworthy couldn't have denied Wilde a grudging admiration. Further, in the following years, while Wilde lay in prison and, later, squandered away in Paris, Galsworthy would have gone to some recognizable proof with him and his agony, for Galsworthy was existing under boycott of the ethics of the period and the rule that everyone must follow in his most fundamental, to him, association with Ada Galsworthy.

And thus, with the discussions above, it drops out that the general tone of both the occasion of the Wilde's prosecutions and the play Loyalties, are the same. The incomprehensible legend, the noxious persecutor, the uninformed spouse, the vacillating companions, the hoodwinked legal counsellor, and the steady police intensity all show up in both the reality and the fiction. The occasion had been viewed as an outrage among the high societies. This is the tone of Loyalties, a perspective of outrage among the upper-class societies. Obviously, no supervisor would reject it. The theme is a staple of the theatre.

To conclude, there is the vision of men who won't escape the purview of a court which would place them in durance. Doubtlessly here, in this decision of prosecution and discipline or escape and banning, Wilde, the model, Galsworthy, the creator, and Dancy, the character, meet. Each had his day in the courts of England. Each had, in common suit, been verifiably marked a criminal, the

Language in India www.languageinindia.com ISSN 1930-2940 19:2 February 2019

Arun Daves, A., M.A., M.A., M.Phil. and Dr. A. Selvaraj, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D. Research Supervisor Relativity between Reality and the Imaginary: Oscar Wilde, Galsworthy and the Character of Dancy 50

A Review

model as a sick person, the maker as a miscreant, and the character as a hoodlum. The model had from there on been striven for his wrongdoing, got discipline and fled to banish. The maker was not accused of his wrongdoing. What's more, the character had slaughtered himself before being conveyed to preliminary.

The issue of what loyalty a criminal owes to the individuals who might snub him is not new. Additionally, in *Loyalties*, as well, Galsworthy sees this deadliest of battles in which man is bolted with his own kind. Moreover, from this severe fight Galsworthy appears to have drawn a little and unattractive good, which may be seen crudely in summons, "Death before dishonour." Oscar Wilde during his last days in Paris would have been sufficient to affirm the judgment.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **Works Cited**

- ➤ Dawn Archer, *Libelling Oscar Wilde: The case of Regina vs. John Sholto Douglas*, Journal of Politeness Research, Pg. 73-99, 2011
- Douglas, Alfred, *The Autobiography of Lord Alfred Douglas*, London: Martin Secker, 1971.
- ➤ H.V. Marrot, *The Life And Letters Of John Galsworthy*, William Heinem Ann Ltd London;; Toronto, 1936
- ➤ John Galsworthy, *Loyalties, A Drama in Three Acts*, Charles Scribner's Sons, Newyork, 1922
- Leon Schalit, *John Galsworthy A Survey*, London: The Windmill Press, Kingswood, Surrey, 1929
- M.E. Reynolds, Memories of John Galsworthy, Robert Hale & Co, London, 1936
- ➤ Robinson, Greg, Whispers of the Unspeakable: New York and Montreal Newspaper Coverage of the Oscar Wilde Trials in 1895, Journal of Transnational American Studies, 2015
- S.S. Mathur, John Galsworthy: *Loyalties*, Lakshmi Narain Agarwal Educational Publishers, Agra.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_