

LANGUAGE IN INDIA
Strength for Today and Bright Hope for Tomorrow
Volume 13 : 1 January 2013
ISSN 1930-2940

Managing Editor: M. S. Thirumalai, Ph.D.
Editors: B. Mallikarjun, Ph.D.
Sam Mohanlal, Ph.D.
B. A. Sharada, Ph.D.
A. R. Fatihi, Ph.D.
Lakhan Gusain, Ph.D.
Jennifer Marie Bayer, Ph.D.
S. M. Ravichandran, Ph.D.
G. Baskaran, Ph.D.
L. Ramamoorthy, Ph.D.
Assistant Managing Editor: Swarna Thirumalai, M.A.

Conjunctive Coordinator, *ƏdugƏ* ‘and’ in Manipuri
Ch. Yashawanta Singh, Ph.D. and S. Indrakumar Singh, Ph.D. Candidate

=====

Abstract

The present paper is concerned with the Coordination in Manipuri from the point of view of syntactic constituents. Coordination refers to syntactic constructions in which two or more units (called conjuncts or coordinands) of the same type are combined into a larger unit and still have the same semantic relations with other surrounding elements. A coordinating construction is one in which all of the constituents are of the same syntactic category consisting of two or more coordinands or coordinated phrases or conjuncts. This paper analyses the coordinator *ƏdugƏ* ‘and’ in the structure of Verb coordinate construction, Adjective modifiers coordinate construction, Adverb modifiers coordinate construction and Postposition modifiers coordinate construction.

Monosyndetic coordination, being a subclass of Syndetic involves only a single Coordinator, i.e., when not more than two conjuncts or coordinands are present as stated by Martin Haspelmath (2004). In Manipuri, monosyndetic coordination occurs with lexical coordinators such as *ƏdugƏ* ‘and’. Also bisyndetic coordination being another subclass of Syndetic involves two coordinators i.e. when two or more conjuncts or coordinands are present. But the coordinator *ƏdugƏ* ‘and’ does not occur in bisyndetic coordination and also it does not occur in Noun Phrase coordinate construction. In addition to this, Manipuri allows multiple coordinands i.e. more than two coordinands. In such constructions with multiple coordinands, monosyndetic coordination occurs with the coordinator *ƏdugƏ* and’ with fewer coordinands. We then examine the coordinator *ƏdugƏ* in opposite. In this typology of clause combination, either the first or the last clause is in negative or in opposite meaning. In coordinate structures, Manipuri employs coordinators for a variety of functions.

The analysis of the conjunctive coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in this language further reveals the occurrence of the coordinands or conjuncts with the coordinator **ədugə** and’. Coordinate structures such as Verb phrases, Adjective phrases, Adverb phrases etc. occur with the coordinator **ədugə** and’.

1. Introduction

Manipuri, locally known as Meiteilon, is one of the major languages of the Tibeto-Burman sub-family (kuki-chin sub-group) of the Sino-Tibetan family spoken by the people as a first language almost in and around the valley region of the State, Manipur (a north eastern state of India). Manipuri has predominantly agglutinative structure. It is a verb final structure. The word order is primarily SOV. Manipuri employs coordinators **ədugə** ‘and’ for a variety of functions. Coordinate structures such as Verb coordinate construction, Adjective modifiers coordinate construction, Adverb modifiers coordinate construction and Postposition modifiers coordinate construction occur with the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’. And without the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ two components are juxtaposed without any grammatical morpheme imposing so as to indicate the expression a close semantic connection. The components are, in case of a looser semantic relationship, separated by **ədugə** ‘and’. The contrast between juxtaposition and overt conjunction provides an example of iconicity in Language (Haiman 1983, 1985 edited by Haspelmath).

Manipuri conjunction **ədugə** ‘and’ shows similarities with other Tibeto Burman Languages. Hakha Lai (a TB language in Chin State, western Burma) makes use of **=?i** ‘and’ for the clausal coordinator and **=leé** ‘and’ for the NP coordinator (See David A. Peterson and Kenneth VanBik 2004). For sgaw Karen (a sino-Tibetan language spoken in Burma) a single conjunction, **do?** performs various functions (Carol, 1993). The present paper specifically attempts to explore the various functions of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ which takes important roles in Manipuri syntactic structure.

2. Coordinator ədugə

The coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ does not occur in noun coordinate construction with two conjuncts as in monosyndetic type of syndetic coordination; but it occurs in verb coordinate construction and modifier coordinate constructions. Some examples are given below.

1. tombə əməsuŋ caobə cətk^hre
tombə əməsuŋ caobə cət -k^hə -re
Tomba and Chaoba go-DEF-PERF
‘Tomba and Chaoba have gone’.

*(b). tombə ədugə caobə cətk^hre
 tombə ədugə caobə cət-k^hə -re
 Tomba and Chaoba go -DEF-PERF

*(c). məhak ədugə əi cətkəni
 məhak ədugə əi cət-kəni
 he and I go -FUT

Sentences 1(b) and (c) are unacceptable construction as the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ conjoins NP conjuncts, **tomba** and **chaoba** in 1(b) and **məhak** ‘he’ and **əi** ‘I’ in 1(c). Hence, the lexical coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ does not occur in monosyndetic coordination with two coordinands or conjuncts. However, in monosyndetic coordination with multiple coordinands or conjuncts, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ can occur although the combining participants are nouns as in 2(a) and (b) below.

2(a). tombəgi məma, məpa, məcil-mənao, ədugə məri-məta loinə lakkəni
 tombə -gi mə-ma, mə-pa, məcil-mənao, ədugə məri-məta loinə lak -kəni
 Tomba-GEN 3pp-mother, 3pp-father brothers, and relations whole come-FUT
 ‘Tomba’s parents, brothers, sisters and all relatives will come’.

(b). tombə, məni, ram, sam, ədugə gopal loinə cətk^həre
 tombə, məni, ram, sam, ədugə gopal loi-nə cət-k^hə -re
 Tomba, Mani, Ram, Shyam and Gopal all-ADV go -DEF-PERF
 ‘All Tomba, Mani, Ram, Shyam and Gopal have gone’.

The presence of the adverb **loinə** ‘all’ in sentences 2(a) and (b) reveals the capability of conjoining the multiple noun conjuncts with the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’. In case of absence of the adverb **loinə** ‘all’, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ is not permitted to conjoin the two or multiple noun conjuncts. Instead of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’, the coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’ is suitable in such case. On the other hand, the adverb may be replaced by a suffix **-su** ‘also’ which is just added to the final conjunct as in the examples given below.

3. tombə, məni, ram, sam, ədugə gopalsu cətk^həre
 tombə, məni, ram, sam, ədugə gopal-su cət-k^hə -re
 Tomba, Mani, Ram, Shyam and Gopal-also go -DEF-PERF
 ‘Tomba, Mani, Ram, Shyam and Gopal also have gone’.

The insertion of the suffix **-su** ‘also’ indicates that the final noun conjunct also follows the action performed by the other conjuncts.

3. ədugə in verb coordination

In a coordinate structure with two verb phrases conjoined by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’, the two coordinands or conjuncts occur as a structure of ‘**VP ədugə VP**’ (VP =Verb Phrase; **ədugə** =and). This coordinate structure is similar with that of Sgaw Karen (a Sino-Tibetan language spoken in Burma). They have the structure **VP dɔʔ VP** (VP =Verb Phrase; **dɔʔ** =and) (Carol and Benson, 2004). The conjoining nature of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in Manipuri reveals that the two verb phrases are separated by it as in 4(a) and (b) below.

- 4(a). məhak məmai tat^hoki ədugə təit^hoki
məhak mə -mai ta -t^hok-i ədugə təi -t^hok-i
he 3PP-face wash-out-ASP and wipe-out -ASP
‘He washed and wiped out his face’.

- (b). məhak cətk^hre ədugə lotk^hre
məhak cət-k^hə -re ədugə lot -k^hə -re
he go -DEF-PERF and hide-DEF-PERF
‘He has absconded and hidden away’.

In 4(a), the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ along with the two verb phrase conjuncts - **tat^hoki** ‘washed out’ and **təit^hoki** ‘wiped out’ constitute a coordinate structure explaining the actions in detail. The function of the first conjunct is performed well, that is, *washed out his face* and in which the presence of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ indicates in detail what happened after the first conjunct occurred. similarly in 4(b), the first conjunct **cətk^hre** ‘has gone’ is coordinated by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ with the last conjunct - **lotk^hre** ‘has hidden’, that is, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ reveals in detail that the action of what is done next after the first conjunct of ‘his going’. This is different from that of the coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’. The coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’ simply indicates the conjoining of the two conjuncts without explanation of the happenings. Again in 4(b), the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ might conjoin the underlying sequences of structures such as **məhak cətk^hre** ‘he has gone’, **məhak t^hibə jabə t^hirure** ‘he has been traced out possibly’ **məhak p^həndre** ‘he can not be traced out’, then finally confirmed as **məhak lotk^hre** ‘he has hidden away’.

Unlike the coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’ in the combination of two identical verb phrases, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ seeks the addition of adverbs. Speakers of this language always use adverb just before the final conjunct of the two identical verb phrases as illustrated below.

- 5(a). iskimossiŋnə sa carəmmi ədugə həujiksu cari
iskimos-siŋ-nə sa ca -rəm -i ədugə həujik-su ca -ri

Eskimos-PL-ERG animal eat-COMPL-ASP and now -also eat-PROG
 ‘Eskimos had eaten meat and also are still eating’.

- (b). hindusiŋnə krisnə niŋləmmi ədugə həujiksu niŋli
 hindu-siŋ-nə krisnə niŋ -ləm -i ədugə həujik-su niŋ -li
 Hindu-PL-ERG Krishna pray-COMPL-ASP and now-also pray-PROG
 ‘Hindus had prayed Lord Krishna and also are still praying’.

In concatenation, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ is withdrawn. In such a situation that unlike the use of the coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’ (where intonation occurs when the coordinator is withdrawn), there is no question of intonation even if **ədugə** ‘and’ is withdrawn. It might be due to the presence of adverb **həujiksu** ‘also now’. The concatenate constructions of sentences 5(a) and (b) are illustrated as in 6(a) and (b).

- 6(a). iskimossiŋnə sa carəmmi həujiksu cari
 iskimos-siŋ-nə sa ca -rəm -i həujik-su ca -ri
 Eskimos-PL-ERG animal eat-COMPL-ASP now -also eat-PROG
 ‘Eskimos had eaten meat and also are still eating’.

- (b). hindusiŋnə krisnə niŋləmmi həujiksu niŋli
 hindu-siŋ-nə krisnə niŋ -ləm -i həujik-su niŋ -li
 Hindu-PL-ERG Krishna pray-COMPL-ASP now -also pray-PROG
 ‘Hindus had prayed Lord Krishna and also are still praying’.

Identical verb phrase combinations in Wh-question reduplicate the Wh-question words both in coordination and concatenation. Also an adverb of time is inserted just after the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’. For instance, it is nothing done in the use of the coordinator **əməsuŋ** ‘and’. Sentences in 7(a) and (b) below show the combination of the final clause and non-final clause of identical verb with Wh-question, where that very Wh-question word occurs twice in coordinate structure as well as in concatenate structure.

- 7(a). məitəisiŋnə kəri təurəmmi ədugə həujiknə kəri təuri
 məitəi-siŋ-nə kəri təu-rəm -i ədugə həujik-nə kəri təu-ri
 Meitei-PL-ERG what do-COMPL-ASP and now -ADV what do -PROG
 ‘What had Meiteis done and what are they doing now?’

- (b). məitəisiŋnə kəri təurəmmi həujiknə kəri təuri
 məitəi-siŋ-nə kəri təu-rəm -i həujik-nə kəri təu-ri
 Meitei-PL-ERG what do-COMPL-ASP now -ADV what do -PROG
 ‘What had Meiteis done and what are they doing now?’

- 8(a). suktəsiŋnə kədaidə ləirəmmi ədugə həujiknə kədaidə ləiri
 sukte-siŋ-nə kədai-də ləi -rəm -i ədugə həujik-nə kədai-də ləi

-ri

Sukte-PL-ERG where-LOC live-COMPL-ASP and now -ADV where-LOC live-PROG

‘Where had Sukte lived and where are they living now?’

- (b). suktesiqnə kədaidə ləirəm̐mi həujiknə kədaidə ləiri
sukte-siqnə kədai-də ləi-rəm̐ -i həujik-nə kədai-də ləi -ri
Sukte-PL-ERG where-LOC live-COMPL-ASP now-ADV where-LOC live-PROG
‘Where had Sukte lived and where are they living now?’

Final clause and non-final clause are juxtaposed as a concatenate structure in 7(b) and 8(b) above. The coordinate structures appear in 7(a) and 8(a) above where the two clauses are separated by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ with the reduplication of wh-question words.

Both coordination and concatenation may be possible for some verb phrase combinations with different inferences. For different communicative purposes both are used in different contexts. Consider the following examples.

- 9(a). gilastu tare ədugə kaire
gilas-tu ta -re ədugə kai -re
glass-DEM fall-PERF and break-PERF
‘The glass fell down and broke’.

- (b). gilastu tare kaire
gilas-tu ta -re kai -re
glass-DEM fall-PERF break-PERF
‘The glass fell down and broke’.

- 10(a). ca lolle ədugə t^həke
ca lol -le ədugə t^hək -e
tea prepare-PERF and drink-PERF
‘Tea is prepared and has been taken’.

- (b). ca lolle t^həke
ca lol -le t^hək -e
tea prepare-PERF drink-PERF
‘Tea is prepared and has been taken’.

The forms of coordination in 9(a) and 10(a) seem to be used more frequently so as to report separate events or to explain the happenings in detail. That in 9(a), ‘how the glass broke’ is explained in detail i.e. by falling down, the glass broke itself. But the use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ instead of **əməsuŋ** ‘and’ explains in detail about how the glass broke and consequently it broke by falling down. This coordinate structure has the effect of downplaying the relationship between the two subparts of the event and it might

be chosen for social interaction or politeness consideration. For instance, someone may know that it was your favorite glass and may not want to break it. Similarly in 10(a), by the use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ explains in detail the happenings of events i.e. the effect of downplaying the relationship between the two subparts of the event ‘tea is prepared’ and then it has been taken. However, the use of the coordinator **əməsun** ‘and’ simply reports the sequence of events i.e. ‘preparation of tea’ and ‘taking the tea’.

More frequently speakers of this language, on the other hand, use the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in disguise instead of using it overtly in 9(a) and 10(a) above. Illustrative examples with regard to the use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ disguisedly appear in sentences 11(a) and (b) below.

- 11(a). gilastu tarəgə kaire
 gilastu ta -re ədugə kai -re
 glass-DEM fall-PERF and break-PERF
 ‘The glass fell down and broke’.

- (b). ca lolləgə t^həke
 ca lol -le ədugə t^hək -e
 tea prepare-PERF and drink-PERF
 ‘Tea is prepared and has been taken’.

In surface structure, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ is not overt in both the above sentences. But the underlying structures of the sentences in 11(a) and (b) can be as **gilastu tare ədugə kaire** ‘The glass fell down and broke’ and **ca lolle ədugə t^həke** ‘Tea is prepared and has been taken’. Consider more examples below.

- 12(a). məhak cak tokləgə t^hok^həre
 məhak cak tok -le ədugə t^hok -k^hə -re
 he rice finish-PERF and go out-DEF-PERF
 ‘He has taken food and went out’.

- (b). k^həlləgə ŋaŋŋu
 k^həl -u ədugə ŋaŋ -u
 think-COMD and speak-COMD
 ‘Think and speak’.

- (c). məhaksu pau tabəgə laki
 məhak-su pau tai ədugə lak -i
 he -also news hear and come-ASP
 ‘He also heard the news and came here’.

The use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in disguise can be analyzed. By deletion of the portion **-ədu-** from **ədugə**, the rest portions **-gə** is added to the end of the first conjunct of the coordinate structure. In this case, the position of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ stands alike Haspelmath’s logically possible type of monosyndatic coordination, [A Co] [B] (Haspelmath, 2004:6). In this formula, ‘A’ and ‘B’ stand for two conjuncts/coordinands, and ‘Co’ stands for the coordinator. But the structure becomes tripartite when the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ is overt. The logical possibility of symmetrical tripartite structure embodied by Haspelmath is [A] [Co] [B].

In sentences 11(a), (b) and 12(a), the first conjuncts are **tare** ‘fell down’, **lolle** ‘prepared’ and **tokle** ‘finished’ respectively. The rest portion **-gə** after the deletion of the first syllable **-ədu-** from **ədugə** ‘and’ is directly added to these first conjuncts and they become as **taregə**, **lolləgə** and **tokləgə**. After prolonged use, speakers of this language tend to utter as **tarəgə**, **lolləgə** and **tokləgə**, and still it is found both in speaking and writing. The process involved here is that the mid front vowel ‘e’ in **tare** ‘fell down’, **lolle** ‘prepared’ and **tokle** ‘finished’ assimilates to ‘ə’ to get **tarəgə**, **lolləgə** and **tokləgə**.

In the coordination of imperative sentence as in 12(b), the command marker ‘u’ from the first conjunct is deleted and on it added the rest portion **-gə** after the deletion of **-ədu-** from the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’. Similarly, the command marker ‘o’ of the first conjunct in sentences (13) below is deleted and followed by the portion **-gə**.

13(a). cətləgə purəko
 cət-lo ədugə pu-rək-o
 go-COMD and bring-INCT-COMD
 ‘Go and bring it’.

(b). pau tarəgə lako
 pau ta -ro ədugə lak -o
 news hear-COMD and come-COMD
 ‘Hear the news and come’.

The use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in disguise on the surface structure is more frequently in use than that of the overtly use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’. But the underlying structure of the two sentences in (13) are given in (14) below.

14(a). cətlə ədugə purəko
 cət-lo ədugə pu-rək-o
 go-COMD and bring-INCT-COMD
 ‘Go and bring it’.

(b). pau tarə ədugə lako

pau ta -ro ədugə lak -o
 news hear-COMD and come-COMD
 ‘Hear the news and come’.

4. ədugə in adjective modifier coordinate constructions

The coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ is used in adjective modifiers coordinate construction. In such coordinate construction, adjectives being the modifiers are conjoined by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ giving more detailed explanation about the sequence of events occurred. The combination of modifiers **əwabə** ‘be sad’ and **nuḡaitəbə** ‘be unwell’ conjoined by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in the sentence (15) below explains about the two conjuncts in detail that after the first conjunct **əwabə** ‘be sad’ is separated by **ədugə** ‘and’, an another event **nuḡaitəbə** ‘be unwell’ is added to the meaning of the first conjunct. Not only the ‘sadness’ of the first conjunct but also another similar situation ‘unwell’ of the last conjunct is indicated by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’.

15. əi əwabə ədugə nuḡaitəbə wari taniḡde
 əi ə -wa -bə ədugə nuḡai -tə -bə wari ta -niḡ -de -i
 I ATT-be sad-NZR and be happy-NEG-NZR story hear-wish-NEG-ASP
 ‘I don’t want to hear sad and unhappy story’.

But without explaining and separating the two conjuncts are juxtaposed in concatenation structure. In concatenation the two sequences of the events are put together without any grammatical morpheme inserted in between them. In short, the coordinate structure becomes coordinative compound. Two juxtaposed conjuncts are often treated as coordinative compounds (Haspelmath, 2004).

- 16(a). əi əwabə nuḡaitəbə wari taniḡde
 əi ə -wa -bə nuḡai -tə -bə wari ta -niḡ -de -i
 I ATT-be sad-NZR be happy-NEG-NZR story hear-wish-NEG-ASP
 ‘I don’t want to hear sad and unhappy story’.
- (b). məsa pabə əwaḡbə nupidu lake
 mə -sa pa -bə ə -waḡ -bə nupi -du lak -e
 3PP-body be thin-NZR ATT-be tall-NZR woman-DET come-ASP
 ‘The thin and tall woman came’.
- (c). əp^həbə ərubə isiḡ t^həkpə p^həi
 ə -p^hə -bə ə -ru -bə isiḡ t^hək -pə p^hə -i
 ATT-be good-NZR ATT-be clean-NZR water drink-NZR be good-ASP
 ‘It is good to drink pure and clean water’.

5. ədugə in adverb modifier coordinate constructions

The use of the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in the combination of adverbs, being the modifiers in coordinate structure shows similar with that of adjective modifiers do. Adverbs, being the modifiers are conjoined by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in coordinate structure as well as they can be juxtaposed with no overt conjunction. Examples are given below.

- 17(a). tombə iŋlis p^həjənə ədugə niŋthinə ŋaŋŋi
tombə iŋlis p^həjə -nə ədugə niŋthi -nə ŋaŋ -i
Tomba English be nice-ADV and be well-ADV speak-ASP
‘Tomba speaks English well and nicely’.

- (b). tombə iŋlis p^həjənə niŋthinə ŋaŋŋi
tombə iŋlis p^həjə -nə niŋthi -nə ŋaŋ -i
Tomba English be nice-ADV be well-ADV speak-ASP
‘Tomba speaks English well and nicely’.

The coordination form, as in 17(a) is more likely to be used for reporting separate events, or for giving an explanation in detail. In this form, the nature of speaking English is indicated how well as well as how nice separately. However, for a single-event inference, the concatenation structure, as in 17(b), is preferred. The concatenation carries meaning that is not fully predictable; the meaning is not a compositional sequence of the meanings of the individual verb phrases that it comprises (Carol and Benson Craig, 2004).

6. ədugə in postposition modifier coordinate constructions

In Manipuri, postpositions being the modifiers are conjoined by the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ in coordinate structure. But this coordinate structure can not transform into concatenate structure since they do not constitute a conceptual unit, and when they occur together, they require the conjunction. Illustrative examples are given in sentences below.

- 18(a). nəŋgi nakəndə ədugə məniŋdə leppə misiŋdu əi k^həŋŋi
nəŋ-gi nakən-də ədugə məniŋ-də lep -pə mi -siŋ -du əi k^həŋ -i
you-GEN beside-LOC and behind -LOC stand-NZR person-PL-DEM I know-ASP
‘I know the person standing beside and behind you’.

- * (b). nəŋgi nakəndə məniŋdə leppə misiŋdu əi k^həŋŋi
nəŋ-gi nakən-də məniŋ-də lep-pə mi-siŋ-du əi k^həŋ -i
you-GEN beside-LOC behind-LOC stand-NZR person-PL-DEM I know-ASP

- 19(a). ləmbigi məjaidə ədugə mətaidə k^həmbə gariŋ pulisnə puk^hro
ləmbi-gi məjai -də ədugə mətai-də k^həmbə gari-siŋ pulis-na pu-

k^hə -re

road -GEN middle-LOC and edge -LOC park-NZR vehicle-PL police-ERG bring-DEF-PERF

‘Police has collected the vehicles parking at the middle and edge of the road’.

*(b). ləmbigi məjaidə mətaidə k^həmbə garisiŋ pulisnə puk^hro

ləmbi-gi məjai-də mətai-də k^həm-bə gari -siŋ pulis -nə pu

-k^hə -re

road -GEN middle-LOC edge-LOC park-NZR vehicle-PL police-ERG bring-DEF-PERF

Sentences 18(b) and 19(b) are unacceptable. The combining two conjuncts can not be juxtaposed since they do not constitute a conceptual unit and hence they require the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ as in 18(a) and 19(a).

However, in a situation, concatenation is also possible. The two postpositions, being the modifiers are juxtaposed when the two postpositions have contrary meaning to one another. In this sense they are treated as a familiar combination and hence they always go together. Examples are given as follows.

20. t^həbək^{tu} maŋ tuŋ jeŋnə təu

t^həbək-tu maŋ tuŋ jeŋ -nə tə -u

work -DEM before after look-ADV do-COMD

‘Do the work for better consequence’.

21. ləmbigi jet oi k^həŋnə cətlu

ləmbi-gi jet oi k^həŋ -nə cət-lu

road -GEN right left know-ADV go-COMD

‘Go knowing the right and left of the road’.

22. məhak t^hək k^ha jeŋnə wa ŋaŋŋi

məhak t^hək k^ha jeŋ -nə wa ŋaŋ -i

he up down look-ADV word speak-ASP

‘He speaks knowing up and down’.

7. ədugə in postposition

The conjunctive coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ can conjoin the two opposite clauses. In this typology of clause combination, either the first or the last clause is in negative or in opposite meaning as in 23(a) and (b) below.

23(a). tombədi lake ədugə mənidi lakte

tombə -di lak -e ədugə mənə -di lak -te -i

Tomba-DEM come-ASP and Mani-DEM come -NEG-ASP

‘Tomba came but Mani did not come’.

- (b). əinə səŋŋom tʰəki ədugə məkʰoinə kopʰi tʰəki
 əi-nə səŋŋom tʰək -i ədugə məkʰoi-nə kopʰi tʰək-i
 I -ERG milk drink-ASP and they -ERG coffee drink-ASP
 ‘I drink milk and they drink coffee’.

Sentence in 23(a) contains a final negative clause **mənidi lakte** ‘Mani did not come’ which is in contrast to the non-final clause **tombədi lake** ‘Tomba came’. In sentence 23(b), the two clauses are in opposite utterance. To some extent the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ occurs at the beginning of the sentence. But it has underlying link to the aforesaid clause. In such constriction, sentences are not put into one. They look separate sentences, but they carry the underlying sense of linking the sentence as in the following instances.

24. məhak toni ni. ədugə mijamnədi tom hainə kəui
 məhak toni-ni. ədugə mijam-nə -di tom hai-nə kəu-i
 he Toni-COP. but people-ERG-DEM Tom say-ADV call -ASP
 ‘He is Toni’. ‘But people call him as Tom’.

In this example, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ occurs at the initial position of the second sentences. In the first sentence ‘He is Toni’, the name ‘Toni’ is reflected in the second sentence as ‘Tom’ that is known to the people. Hence, the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ conjoins the aforesaid sentence with the sentence where it occurs initially. However, only the sentence that the coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ begins can not be considered as a complete sense without the former sentence mentioned as in the following example.

- *25. ədugə mijamnədi tom hainə kəui
 ədugə mijam-nə -di tom hai-nə kəu-i
 but people-ERG-DEM Tom say-ADV call -ASP

The conjunctive coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ does not function in sentence (25) as a complete thought. Without the sentence mentioned already speakers of this language do not use such sentence. This sentence indicates that there is a hidden sentence before it, without which the sentence alone can not give a complete thought.

8. Conclusion:

In this paper, we analyze Manipuri coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’ used for a range of functions. The use of the coordinator **ədugə** in coordinate constructions contrasts with that of juxtaposition. The single conceptual unit is encoded with a grammatical construction. When the combining two conjuncts are a familiar and conceptual unit with the high frequency of usage, an overt conjunction is not used. To some extent, both coordination and juxtaposition are possible for some verb phrase combinations with different inferences. Bisyndetic coordination does not occur with the conjunctive coordinator **ədugə** ‘and’.

Abbreviations:

| | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|
| ACC | accusative |
| ADV | adverb |
| AO | action orientation towards the limit |
| ASP | aspect |
| ASS | associative |
| ATT | attributive |
| COMD | command |
| COMPL | completive |
| CONJ | conjunction |
| COP | copulative |
| DEF | definitive |
| DES | desire |
| DEM | demonstrative |
| FUT | futurity |
| LOC | locative |
| NEG | negative |
| ERG | ergative |
| NZR | nominalizer |
| PERF | perfective |
| PL | plural |
| GEN | genitive |
| PROG | progressive |
| INC | Inceptive |

References:

- Bhat, D.N.S & ningomba, M.S. 1997. *Manipuri Grammar*. Lincon studies in Asian, linguistics 04, Miinchen, Newcastle
- Chelliah, shobhana. 1997. *Meithei Grammar*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Foley, William & Robert D. van Valin, Jr 1984. *Functional syntax and Universal Grammar*. Cambridge University press.
- Grierson, G.A., ed 1903-1904. Linguistic Survey of India, Vol III: Tibeto-Burman family (3pts), Calcutta, office of the superintendent, Government Printing.
- Heath, Jeffrey, 2004. *Coordination: An adaptationist view*. Amsterdam/Benamins.
- Haspelmath, Martin, 2004. *Coordinating constructions*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benamins.
- 2004. Coordinating Constructions. An Overview. *Typological Studies in Language (TSL)*. Vol.58.
- Heath, Jeffrey. 2004. Coordination. An adaptationist view. In Martin Haspelmath (Ed.). *Typological Studies in Language (TSL)*. Vol.58.

- Johannessen, Janne Bondi. 1998. *Coordination*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lord, Carol 2004. Conjunction and Concatenation in Sgaw Karen: Familiarity, frequency & conceptual unit, edited by Martin Haspelmath, Vol. 58, Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Matisoff, James 1972. *The Grammar of Lahu*. Berkely: University of California Press.
- Madhubala, P. 1979. Manipuri Grammar (unpublished Doctoral Dissertation). Manipur University, Imphal.
- Zhang, Niina Ning. 2006. On the Configuration Issue of Coordination. *Language and Linguistics*. 7(1): 175-223.
- 2009. *Coordination in Syntax*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Peterson, David A. & Vanrik Kenneth, 2004. Coordination in Hakha Lai (Tibeto-Burman). John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amesterdam/Philadelphia.
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leach, G. & Svartvik, Jan.1972. *A Grammar of contemporary English*. Longman Group Limited, London.
- Quirk,R. & Greenbaum, S.2000. *A university Grammar of English*. Pearson Education Limited: Edinburgh Gate, Harlow.
- Singh, Yashwanta, Ch. 2000. *Manipuri Grammar*. Rajesh Publications, New Delhi.
- Thoudam, P.C. 1996. *Remedial Manipuri*. Revised and Enlarged Edn. SI & CO. Imphal. Department of Linguistics, Manipur University, Imphal.

=====

Ch. Yashawanta Singh, Ph.D.
chungkhamyash@gmail.com

S. Indrakumar Singh, Ph.D. Candidate
iksagol@gmail.com

Department of Linguistics
Manipur University
Imphal
Manipur
India