

Beyond the Singularity: A critical analysis of AI's future

Aarthi. G, M.A.,

PSGR Krishnammal College for Women,
Coimbatore. 7448713884
23men102@psgrkcw.ac.in

Dr. R. Nivedhita M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D., (NET)

Assistant Professor
PSGR Krishnammal College for Women,
Coimbatore. 9047488834
nivedhita@psgrkcw.ac.in

Abstract

The demand for human creators is currently being reduced as AI technologies replace humans in many fields. Artificial intelligence (AI) constrains the limits of human creativity. This research attempts to understand the influence of AI on humans and how AI serves as a tool and not a replacement for humans. Drawing insights from Ray Kurzweil's *The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*, this study explores how AI-driven advancements could enhance cognitive capabilities, prolong life, and challenge biological constraints. Moreover, this paper utilizes the Stress and Coping Model to analyze how individuals adjust to technological changes, focusing on psychological and societal repercussions such as job loss, misinformation, and mental health issues. Additionally, Neil Postman's Technopoly theory is referenced to critique the increasing influence of technology on human culture, raising ethical questions about an AI dominated society. While AI offers significant opportunities for advancement, its unregulated integration may worsen social disparities, foster alienation, and create a reliance on technology. This study highlights the necessity of a balanced strategy in adopting AI – one that enhances human capabilities while safeguarding essential human values. By framing AI as a collaborative instrument rather than a substitute for human creativity, this study stresses the importance of ethical practices, education, and adaptive measures to alleviate adverse effects. The findings contribute to the ongoing conversation regarding AI's impact on the future of work, creativity, and human identity in a rapidly evolving technological landscape.

Keywords: technological advancement, human perspective, originality,

displacement, cognitive disabilities, ethical concerns.

Introduction

The development of artificial intelligence (AI) has changed several aspects of modern existence, including the perception and expression of creativity. Although some suggest AI may enhance human creativity, overuse of the technology presents serious problems that could limit the ability to be creative. The widespread usage of AI is causing a loss in critical thinking abilities, which is raising concerns that it may limit human creativity while damaging critical thinking abilities. As Technology is so convenient, people choose to rely on the fast responses of AI rather than performing in-depth research or reflection. According to the theory of digital amnesia, people are less likely to engage in cognitive processing and their critical thinking skills may weaken when they are aware that they have instant access to information.

There are advantages and disadvantages of using AI in creative processes. Yet there are also limitations brought out by an over-reliance on technology. The possible risks of excessive AI use affecting human creativity are reflected in the harm to brain functions, the consistency of creative outcomes and the possibility of skill decline. Finding a balance between exploiting technology and strengthening human natural creative abilities is crucial as society deals with this complicated environment. People can preserve the wide range of human interpretation in an increasingly automated society by acknowledging the limitations AI offers on creativity and promoting an atmosphere where technology enhances rather than limits the creative process.

The idea that AI restricts human creativity is especially concerning in educational settings, as pupils may not be able to develop essential intellectual skills due to the dependence on AI-powered writing, research and problem-solving tools. In this regard, students may avoid the important stage of organizing and developing their ideas if they use AI-generated presentation scripts which may impair their analytical skills. This decrease in brain activity may restrict the ability to develop fresh concepts hence limiting creativity in tasks that require creative problem-solving. Such lack of active participation in these cognitive processes may cause students to become overly dependent on technology for intellectual work which could eventually degrade their motivation and dedication to learning. (Evan F. Risko and Sam J. Gilbert, “Cognitive Offloading”, 2016).

An overview of Kurzweil’s Singularity

Language in India www.languageinindia.com **ISSN 1930-2940 Vol. 25:6 June 2025**

Aarthi. G, M.A., and Dr. R. Nivedhita M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D., (NET)

Beyond the Singularity: A critical analysis of AI’s future

The work, *The Singularity is Near-When Humans Transcend Biology* by Ray Kurzweil, presents a provocative exploration of the future of humanity in relation to rapidly advancing technologies, particularly AI and biotechnology. He depicts the development of technology and human potential using what he calls six epochs. Each one builds upon the previous one in its sequence. The four epochs that have already occurred are Physics and Chemistry, Biology and DNA, Brains and Technology. The fifth epoch, he says, would represent the singularity or the melding of human intelligence with technology, as predicted in his timeline. Then, he imagines the sixth epoch, which he called “The Universe Wakes Up” where intelligent creatures will spread throughout the universe which will increase the scope and possibility of intelligent existence many-fold.

Since the late 20th century, improvements in computing power and AI have enabled robots to perform complex operations, such as theorem proving, translation and navigation. Two approaches are used to simulate the computational energy of the human brain: neural simulation, which must conserve up to 10^{21} operations per second and functional simulation, which must be capable of about 10^{16} operations per second. These methods bring to mind the tradeoff between simulating brain activity and fully restoring its complexity. The memory capacity of the human brain is between 10^{13} and 10^{18} bits. Reproduction at the neural level is, therefore, extremely challenging. Software emulating brain functionality is still some way off. It is estimated that computing power will exceed the cumulative processing capacity of human brains by 2050 due to exponential technological advancement.

Kurzweil argues that without biological constraints, it is possible for engineered circuits to be more efficient than neurons and thus allow the possibility for transferring human intelligence into robots. In the succeeding decades, it may lead to a technological “Singularity” in which AI will finally surpass human intellect and drastically change the nature of processing and intelligence. Although Kurzweil is hopeful about the possible advantages of this technological advancement, he also recognizes the dangers of modern technologies. He warns that, if not controlled, the emergence of artificial super intelligence may pose existential risks. Therefore, it is crucial to include moral principles and security precautions into technical advancement. Kurzweil is an advocate of taking proactive steps to guarantee that human values are reflected in intelligent technologies.

Stress Resilience: Adapting to Kurzweil’s Technological Future

Analysing the stress coping models theoretically gives a clear picture about the

impact of AI on human creativity and high usage of technology. The primary stage of a stress coping model is stress as a reaction, Stress coping models focus on how human beings respond to stress, and depending on how people interpret stress, it can have positive (eustress) or negative (distress) impacts.

According to Kurzweil, redesigning a business model can be done in a variety of ways, for instance, switching to subscription services or implementing digital platforms that facilitate easy communication with the public. With artificial intelligence and other technologies constantly changing, firms are presented with new opportunities and challenges to explore innovative intellectual property strategies. Kurzweil states that “it will be another couple of decades before knowledge dominates the economy, but it will represent a profound transformation when it happens” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 97). Businesses can transform the way they protect and exploit their intellectual property by employing advanced intellectual property management strategies. Nowadays, most companies implement AI algorithms in tracking and discovering potential violations in order to facilitate proactive IP portfolio management. With this interaction of intellectual property with creativity, what is more emphasized is the incorporation of creative thinking within all aspects of business operations for businesses. For example, “each industry will continually reinvent its business models, which will require as much creativity as the creation of the IP itself” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 340).

In this regard, workflows, human relationships and job activities have all changed as a result of the ongoing integration of AI in the workplace. Although these developments promise increased productivity and efficiency, they also cause a wide range of intricate stress reactions in humans. When a person feels that the demands of a situation exceed his ability to meet those demands, then stress may be activated. Kurzweil states that “we are moving toward more intelligent and smart machines as the result of myriad small advances, each with its own particular economic justification” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 96). For those who believe their abilities are not sufficient to meet the expectations of AI, stress levels may increase, emphasizing how important it is to understand human attitudes when introducing new technology. According to the American Psychological Association (2023), two-thirds (64%) of workers said they were concerned about AI and also said they frequently felt stressed or under pressure throughout the workday, whereas more than one-third (38%) of workers said they were not concerned about AI. This research shows that how humans are stressed while using AI technologies leads to lack of creativity.

Stress as a stimulus

This classification distinguishes life events according to their impact on stress, asserting that stress is a separate element influencing health outcomes. In Kurzweil's well-established viewpoint, modern corporate practices make decisions much more effective with intelligent communication systems that allow data-driven strategies. He states "the exponential trends in the price-performance of all information-based technologies: computation, memory, communications, biotechnology, miniaturization and even the overall rate of technical progress. These technologies deeply affect all industries" (Ray Kurzweil, *Singularity is Near* 102). Numerous businesses are using intelligent data-sharing platforms which provide fast access to relevant data across departments, so humans can make quick, well-informed decisions. Businesses that incorporate data-sharing procedures across their operations are better able to spot patterns and use analytics to meet human needs. Kurzweil goes further to say that "the impact of distributed and intelligent communications has been felt perhaps most intensely in the world of business" (Ray Kurzweil, *Singularity is Near-When humans transcend biology*, p.103).

From the perspective of Lazarus's model, this advancement has led to a number of stressors that people and companies must deal with. Stress arises not just from environmental stressors but also from cognitive assessment, in which people assess their capacity to handle the risks associated with these shifts. This interaction therefore illustrates how technological pressures can have a cascading impact, causing both performance and mental health to decline at the same time. Mental exhaustion and cognitive stress brought on by the temptation to use technology constantly can eventually limit the depth of practical exploration for creative activities. The opportunities that technology presents may be overshadowed by this weariness, which could result in a loss of creativity and inventiveness (Erik Cambria et al., "Explainable AI for Stress and Depression in the Cyberspace and beyond", p.108-120, 2024).

Stress as a transaction

Stress is seen as a complex interplay of psychological, social and contextual factors that affect a person's perception of stress rather than mainly a response to external factors. "Our brains today are relatively fixed in designs...the current overall capacity of the human brain is highly constrained" (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 317). In this context, when technologies are at a rapid pace humans are forced to work on it since they have no other choice. "The number of humans is growing only very slowly. The number of digitally

connected humans, no matter how you measure it, is growing rapidly” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 95). Thus, techno stress causes people to favour routine tasks over innovative problem-solving because the constant demands of technology drain their cognitive resources. People are more motivated to maintain their views and make sure that technology demands are met, which reduces their natural tendencies to investigate new ideas. Kurzweil states that “DNA-based intelligence is just so very slow and limited” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 32). It’s ironic that as productivity-boosting tools advance, they also become obstacles that prevent creative interaction. Team dynamics can suffer greatly in a workplace where individuals are constantly under pressure to stay technologically proficient. (Martin Korte, “The impact of the digital revolution on human brain and behavior: where do we stand?”, 2020).

Problem-focused coping is a strategy that comprises dealing with and solving stress causing factors in an active manner. Kurzweil predicted that the most glaring manifestation of progress in technology in sectors such as manufacturing, shipping and healthcare is the automation of manual labor. For example, he states that “consumers of virtually everything from toasters, cars, and homes to banking and insurance are now using automated software agents to quickly identify the right choices with the optimal features and prices” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 104). Businesses use robots to automate mundane jobs since they are more accurate and efficient than human laborers. Mental labor is also evolving in relation to the automation of physical labor. “Once we have full-immersion virtual-reality environments incorporating all of these senses, which will be feasible by the late 2020s, there will be no reason to utilize real offices” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 105). Now, AI systems can perform jobs such as data analysis, customer service and even creative tasks like writing and design that previously required human cognitive abilities. Kurzweil further states that “over the next couple of decades, virtually all routine physical and mental work will be automated” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 340).

Thus, humans become experience more stress with the added worry of losing their jobs. Humans may utilize problem-focused approaches to actively confront the difficulties posed by AI, looking to acquire new abilities or modify their positions in order to take full advantage of the technology. In the contemporary job market, the swift development of automation and AI technology offers both enormous potential and difficult obstacles. As more and more functions that were previously completed by humans are replaced by machines and algorithms, this automation has led to employment displacement and

redundancy. As a result of this change people now need to learn new skills in order to efficiently manage and run modern technologies, in addition to coexisting with AI systems. Workers face skills shortages that call for proactive solutions as technology advances at an accelerated rate (Ahmed Shalaby, “Classification for the digital and cognitive AI hazards: urgent call to establish automated safe standard for protecting young human minds”, Vol.2, article no 17, 2024).

In addition, ethical dilemmas pertaining to judgment in more computerized settings necessitate serious thought. Creating a problem-focused coping strategy built on ongoing education and skill development is crucial to addressing these interconnected problems. Human creativity and technical innovation have a complicated and multidimensional interaction that raises issues that swing between fostering and stifling creativity. Concerns about ethics become more pressing as businesses rely more and more on AI to make decisions. Subtle aspects of human creation including emotional intelligence, ethical considerations, and societal context must be acknowledged and may be obscured by the dependence on computational technologies. Furthermore, making decisions in automated settings presents challenges that call for human discretion and ingenuity to successfully resolve. Delegating authority to AI systems can reduce the importance of human creativity in solving difficult challenges in ethical situations where empathy and cultural context are crucial.

In emotion-focused coping, individuals concentrate on controlling emotional reactions to stress as opposed to dealing with the root of the problem. Techniques include avoiding stressful circumstances, thinking positively and seeking emotional support. Kurzweil states that “the human ability to understand and respond appropriately to emotion (so-called emotional intelligence) is one of the forms of human intelligence that will be understood and mastered by future machine intelligence” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 28). Regarding the emotional reliance on AI, there is a film, *M3GAN*, by Gerard Johnstone, wherein a young girl named Cady develops a reliant bond with M3GAN, a sophisticated artificial intelligence doll intended to be a friend and defender. In one scene, an actor states “I thought we were creating a tool to help support parents, not replace them. If you’re having M3GAN tuck Cady in and read her a bedtime story, then when are you ever spending time with her or even talking with her?” (Tess, *M3GAN*, 2022).

This dependence on M3GAN is a prototypical illustration of emotional-focused coping, in which Cady turns to an outside source for solace in order to lessen her loneliness

and pain. “From the perspective of biological humanity, these superhuman intelligences will appear to be our devoted servants, satisfying our needs and desires” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 30). Cady becomes increasingly dependent on M3GAN and less capable at managing complicated emotional situations, finally resulting in her experiencing emotional distress when M3GAN’s programming cannot give her the subtle assistance she needs. Echoing Kurzweil’s statement that “the ‘emotional’ responses of future machine intelligence will be redesigned to reflect their vastly enhanced physical capabilities” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 29).

Primary appraisal

Based on this preliminary evaluation, a stressor is classified as either a challenge or a threat. There are many things about the state of technology today that can lead to a negative primary appraisal. Using technology excessively can lower mental health and increase stress levels in users. For example, Kurweil state that “the nonbiological portion of our intelligence will be trillions of trillions of times more powerful than unaided human intelligence” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 9). Technology’s impact on primary appraisal dynamics highlights the intricate relationship between stress and creativity. Stress levels rise and creative abilities are lowered when digital environments are constantly viewed as potentially dangerous. People may react more stressfully if they believe that using technology threatens their ability to be creative and maintain their mental health.

Secondary appraisal

This involves looking at the coping mechanisms and resources that are available to deal with the stressor. In the relationship between stress and creativity and technology use, secondary appraisal is essential to how people manage the perceived risks associated with excessive technology use. Secondary appraisal examines the resources available to address the threat whereas primary appraisal determines whether a condition is favorable or hazardous. “A machine that can far surpass all the intellectual activities of any man however clever” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 22). The idea of perceived control over the stressor is central to the secondary appraisal. Subsequent assessments of technology users concentrate on their coping strategies and the resources available to lessen the negative impacts of excessive screen time, such as anxiety, despair, and decreased creativity. If people believe they have enough resources, such as social support, self-regulation abilities or established screen-time habits, they may evaluate their circumstances favorably.

Technopoly and the Singularity

Another perspective can be seen through the theoretical analysis of Technopoly theory, in evolution of cultural types. A technopoly is when culture is totally dependent on technology. Technology is used as a tool by society to adhere to social and ideological norms. A technopoly tends to become weaker as established institutions are increasingly reliant on tools to shape its culture. “Once machines achieve the ability to design and engineer technology as humans do, only at far higher speeds and capacities, they will have access to their own designs and the ability to manipulate them” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 27). (Michael Pflanzner et al., “Ethics in human-AI teaming: principles and perspectives”, Vol.3, p.917-935, 2023).

The film *Atlas* by Brad Peyton, depicts the relationship between humans and robots that have control over their design processes. The increasing autonomy of computers significantly raises the possibility that they will function outside of human control (“When will computer hardware match the human brain? - Hans Moravec *Singularity is Near* 122, 1997). In *Atlas*, the main opponent and rogue AI, Harlan, is a perfect example of the risks of uncontrolled autonomy. “Machines will be able to reformulate their own designs and augment their own capacities without limit” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 27). Harlan gains access from Atlas to control technology and people’s emotions, causing chaos in his wake. “Non-Biological intelligence will be able to download skills and knowledge from other machines, eventually also from humans” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 26). This idea highlights a frightening possibility: when robots acquire agency in their designs, they might use their authority to achieve objectives that might not be aligned with human principles or best interests. “Once strong AI is achieved, it can readily be advanced and its powers multiplied, as that is the fundamental nature of machine abilities” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 262).

Deification of technology

A culture of information overload is produced by technopolies, which produces a lot of information but often lacks context. According to Kurzweil, when humans and AI combine, people may surpass biological constraints and live longer lives in addition to having improved cognitive abilities. “That supercomputers will match human brain capability by the end of this decade and personal computing will achieve it by around 2020-or possibly sooner, depending on how conservative an estimate of human brain capacity we use” (Ray

Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 70). Even while this scenario offers exciting opportunities for intellect enhancement and personal empowerment, it is crucial to consider the moral implications of such development. Humans run the risk of undervaluing fundamental facets of humanity, such as emotional intelligence, moral reasoning and cultural legacy, if the worth of human existence is closely linked to advanced technology. “Our sole responsibility is to produce something smarter than we are; any problems beyond that are not ours to solve. there are no hard problems, only problems that are hard to a certain level of intelligence” (Eliezer S *Singularity is Near* 35, 1996). Allowing technology to determine human values, as Postman argues critically, limits comprehension of what it means to lead a satisfying life in the face of an increasingly complicated digital environment. It is essential to consider deeply on how humans wish to shape the future, which includes reaffirming dedication to the cultural settings and values that makes humans imagine the boundaries of artificial intelligence. “As the Singularity approaches we will have to reconsider our ideas about the nature of human life and redesign our human institutions” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 299).

Subordination of cultural coherence

Traditional values, morals and the arts belong to the efficiency and productivity demands imposed by technology. “Computers will be able to combine the traditional strengths of human intelligence with the strength of machine intelligence” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 25). People’s cultural context has a significant impact on how they use technology. Understanding how different sects of people embrace technology, such as artificial intelligence and robotics, depends on this. In societies where technology is viewed as a way to improve social interactions, integration is at ease. On the other hand, resistance may surface in cultures where traditional values predominate or where people are skeptical of technical progress, which could hinder the acceptance of new technologies. “A key advantage of non-biological intelligence is that machines can easily share their knowledge” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 145). This cultural lens is crucial for successfully navigating the deployment of technology because it makes it easier to recognize the opportunities and difficulties that stem from different cultural backgrounds. Creating original ideas is only one aspect of creativity; another is delving deeply into the human condition and using a variety of artistic techniques to convey nuanced feelings. The emotional connection and personal involvement that define human artistry may be diminished when computer intelligence dominates creative processes. The decision toward AI-powered creativity

necessitates a critical analysis of the cultural contexts in which the technologies are used as well as the technology themselves (Michael Cheng-Tek Tai, “The impact of artificial intelligence on human society and bioethics”, 2020).

Knowledge monopolies

New elite groups who control practices and information are created by new technologies, pushing people lacking knowledge away. “The divergence between human intelligence and contemporary AI is how each undertakes the solution of a problem” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 146). One of the main issues with AI systems is the tendency to give priority to people with enhanced cognitive capacities, leaving behind those who find it difficult to do jobs that call for greater levels of intelligence and processing power. This becomes particularly relevant when thinking about how job automation AI systems are very good at doing jobs that were previously handled by untrained individuals, which leads to a digital divide that makes social inequality worse. “All the machines we have met to date lack the essential subtlety of human biological qualities” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 9). Concerns over socioeconomic mobility and inclusion are raised by the unsettling possibility of job displacement for unskilled workers as industries incorporate AI into their processes. The application of AI in the most vital sectors such as healthcare and education has altered decision-making procedures significantly. Even if AI is highly accurate and efficient it also reduces the value of human judgment, especially where humanity and subtle understanding are essential (Evan F. Risko and Sam J. Gilbert, “Cognitive Offloading”, 2016).

Absence of contextual understanding

Information is a commodity in a technopoly that is often meaningless to the receivers. The original meaning and aim are broken as a result. The inability of AI to correctly translate human language is one of the main problems linked to its lack of contextual awareness. According to Kurzweil, human cognition has evolved gradually since its inception. Humans have distinguished themselves from other animals by developing complex language, abstract thinking and the ability to use technological tools which has led to incredible accomplishments in culture, science and the arts. “Singularity will be a change in the nature of our ability to understand” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 24). AI systems are ill-prepared to handle the complexity of the actual world since they frequently rely on preset algorithms and patterns in the data they are trained on. AI models that have trouble interpreting cultural distinctions or emotional tones in discussions are examples of how this

lack of comprehension might result in responses that are technically correct but inappropriate for the situation. Kurzweil state that “the Singularity will represent the culmination of the merger of our biological thinking and existence with our technology, resulting in a world that is still human but that transcends our biological roots” (Ray Kurzweil *Singularity is Near* 9). Therefore, a lack of context can lead to misunderstandings that negatively impact the user experience in addition to impairing communication. Contextual misinterpretation has particularly had consequences in high-stakes situations, such as, computerized decision-making systems in the healthcare or military industries. Even with human operators present, these systems have committed severe mistakes, like mistaking innocent bystanders for deadly threats because the algorithm is unable to recognize contextual changes in intricate operational situations. These failures emphasize how important contextual awareness is for machine learning systems in order to avoid disastrous results (Johana Bhuiyan, “Lost in AI translation: growing reliance on language apps jeopardizes some asylum applications”, 2023).

Conclusion

The study emphasizes the potential benefits and risks associated with emerging technologies in artificial intelligence, genetics, and nanotechnology. Kurzweil imagines a future where human abilities are significantly improved through advancements in biology and computing. However, the implementation of these technologies also brings forth issues related to misinformation, social isolation, and disruption. Frameworks like the Stress and Coping Model and Technopoly are essential for comprehending how individuals and communities respond to these transformations. In conclusion, the study stresses the importance of ethical considerations and adaptive approaches to ensure that technological advancements align with the well-being of individuals and the stability of societal frameworks.

Works cited

Kurzweil, Ray, *The Singularity is Near - When Humans Transcend Biology*, 2005.

“2023 Work in America Survey.” *Apa.org*, Sept. 2023, www.apa.org/pubs/reports/work-in-america/2023-work-america-ai-monitoring.

Bhuiyan, Johana. “Lost in AI Translation: Growing Reliance on Language Apps Jeopardizes Some Asylum Applications.” *The Guardian*, 7 Sept. 2023,

www.theguardian.com/us_news/2023/sep/07/asylum-seekers-ai-translation-apps.

Cambria, Erik, et al. “Explainable AI for Stress and Depression Detection in the Cyberspace and Beyond.” *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer Science+Business Media, Jan. 2024, pp. 108–20, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2650-9_9.

Korte, Martin. “The Impact of the Digital Revolution on Human Brain and Behavior: Where Do We Stand?” *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 2, June 2020, pp. 101–11, <https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2020.22.2/mkorte>. National Library of Medicine.

Pflanzer, Michael, et al. “Ethics in Human–AI Teaming: Principles and Perspectives.” *AI and Ethics*, vol. 3, Sept. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00214-z>.

Risko, Evan F., and Sam J. Gilbert. “Cognitive Offloading.” *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 9, Sept. 2016, pp. 676–88, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.07.002>.

Shalaby, Ahmed. “Classification for the Digital and Cognitive AI Hazards: Urgent Call to Establish Automated Safe Standard for Protecting Young Human Minds.” *Digital Economy and Sustainable Development*, vol. 2, no. 1, Springer Science+Business Media, Aug. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-024-00042-5>.

Tai, Michael Cheng-Tek. “The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Human Society and Bioethics.” *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*, vol. 32, no. 4, Aug. 2020, pp. 339–43, https://doi.org/10.4103/tcmj.tcmj_71_20. National Library of Medicine.

Brad Peyton, *Atlas*, 2024.

Gerard Johnstone, *M3GAN*, 2022.