

---

---

# LANGUAGE IN INDIA

Strength for Today and Bright Hope for Tomorrow

Volume 13:11 November 2013  
ISSN 1930-2940

Managing Editor: M. S. Thirumalai, Ph.D.  
Editors: B. Mallikarjun, Ph.D.  
Sam Mohanlal, Ph.D.  
B. A. Sharada, Ph.D.  
A. R. Fatihi, Ph.D.  
Lakhan Gusain, Ph.D.  
Jennifer Marie Bayer, Ph.D.  
S. M. Ravichandran, Ph.D.  
G. Baskaran, Ph.D.  
L. Ramamoorthy, Ph.D.  
C. Subburaman, Ph.D. (Economics)  
Assistant Managing Editor: Swarna Thirumalai, M.A.

---

---

## Sentential Complementation in Manipuri

Dhanapati Shougrakpam, Ph.D.

---

---

### Abstract

This paper discusses the behaviour of sentential complementation in Manipuri within the structural analysis framework.

It can be inferred from the present study that sentential complement is a major constituent of a sentence or clause structure and is associated with completing the action of the verb. Sentential complements can also be discussed as verb modifying phrases.

### Introduction

Complementation is a grammatical process by which sentences are made to function as the arguments of higher (matrix sentence); the use of nominalized clauses in constructions where they function as noun-phrase complements of a matrix verb.

Language in India [www.languageinindia.com](http://www.languageinindia.com) ISSN 1930-2940 13:11 November 2013

Dhanapati Shougrakpam, Ph.D.

Sentential Complementation in Manipuri

The only nominalizing strategy in Manipuri is the pə ~ bə suffix.

- ‘pə’ occurs after voiceless consonants.
- ‘bə’ occurs after vowels and voiced consonants.

All the action, process and stative roots are bound and known as verbal roots. They can be nominalized by suffixation of the NZR - pə~bə, as in the following two ways (i) addition to the direct verbal roots and (ii) after other suffix.

### Different Structures of Sentential Complementation Are Discussed as Follows:

(a) The way in which complement-taking predicates select nominalized complements and the argument role of the complement can be drawn. There are instances in which the nominalized clause functions completely as a complement, with all of the arguments, being realized as in:

1. nupa məca      ədu      ləp<sup>h</sup>oy      cabə      pam-mi  
 man- small      DET      banana      eat-NZR      want-ASP  
 The boy likes to eat banana.

(b) **A Nominalized Complement Clause Functions as the Argument of the Transitive Verb:** Here, both of the arguments of the nominalized complement clause retain the case marking that they would have in an independent finite clause. Thus, the complement clause is being placed directly into the NP slot without any adjustments to its structure outside of the nominalizing suffix.

### Complementation of Transitive Verb uy ‘see’/tay ‘hear’:

2. ma nə      ma bu      p<sup>h</sup>ubə      əy      uy  
 he-NOM      he-ACC      beat-NZR      I      see  
 I saw him beating him.

3. ma nə      ma bu      cəybə      əy      tay  
 he-NOM      he-ACC      scold-NZR      I      hear  
 I heard him scolding him.

In the above two examples, there is a clear indication that one ‘him’ is the doer or subject [ma-nə (he-NOM)] and the other ‘him’ as in [ma-bu (he-ACC)] is the object or recipient of the action.

The structure can be more clearly seen as from the following two examples-

4.    məpa nə        ma bu        phubə        əy        uy  
 father-NOM    he-ACC        beat-NZR        I        see

I saw his father beating him.

5.    məpa nə        ma bu        caybə        əy        tay  
 father-NOM    he-ACC        scold-NZR        I        hear

I heard his father scolding him.

**(c) Complement Clause Constructions Involving Desiderative Predicate:**

Some complement clauses necessarily have adjustments to their internal syntactic structure when they are embedded as arguments of a matrix verb. This occurs when there is co-reference between the matrix subject and the complement subject.

In the complement construction involving desiderative predicate the subject of the matrix verb and the subject of the complement verb are necessarily co-referential, and the subject of the complement verb is obligatorily unexpressed.

6.    əy        yensa        cabə        pam-mi  
 I        chicken        eat-NZR        want-ASP

I want to eat chicken.

7.    əy        isəy        səkpə        pam-jəy  
 I        song        sing-NZR        want-ASP

I like singing.

**(d) The Evidence for Indication of More General Nominalizing Strategy in**

**Embedding Sentential Complements** - A grammatical process which involves the **Language in India** [www.languageinindia.com](http://www.languageinindia.com) ISSN 1930-2940 **13:11 November 2013**

Dhanapati Shougrakpam, Ph. D.

Sentential Complementation in Manipuri

objectification of a complete sentential proposition such that it may occupy the functional slot of a noun phrase within a larger sentence.

8. nupa læp<sup>h</sup>oy cabə du əy gi imanəbə ni  
 man banana eat-NZR DET I-GEN friend-COP  
 The boy who ate banana is my friend.

9. nupi du kibə dəgi pəysa du tombə də pi  
 womanDET afraid-NZR-CAUS money DET TombaEMP give  
 The woman gave the money to Tomba out of fear.  
 (Someone) Gave the money to Tomba for fear of the woman.

(e) In **Complementation by Nominalization**, the nominalizer pə~bə is suffixed to the predicate of the sub-ordinate clause as shown below –

10. nupa məca ədu læp<sup>h</sup>oy cabə pam-mi  
 man small DET banana eat-NZR want-ASP  
 The boy likes to eat banana.

11. nupi məca ədu koybə cətpə pam-mi  
 woman small DET roam go-NZR want-ASP  
 The girl likes roaming.

(f) **Sentential Complements** are also embedded by means of the attributive subordinator–compare (1) and (2) below:

12. samu t<sup>h</sup>arj nə hatpə du yamnə nuŋŋay-te  
 elephant knife-INST kill-NZR DET QTF happy-NEG  
 The killing of the elephant with a knife is very saddening.

13. manipuri lon ŋarjbə yamnə luy  
 Manipuri language speak-NZR QTF difficult  
 Speaking Manipuri language is very difficult.

In examples (12) and (13), the nominalized sentential complement functions as grammatical subject of the matrix clause. There is no tense/aspect marking in the matrix clause. A distinction between realized and unrealized sentential complements can be drawn as below:

- The only indicator that the situation described in the embedding in (12) is realized in past time i.e. (kənaɡumbə əmənə) samu hatpə du ‘someone killing the elephant’ is the presence of the determiner ‘du’.
- The embedding in (13) is generic, it is unrealized in any specific instance i.e. (kənaɡumbə əmənə) manipuri ŋaŋbə ‘somebody speaking Manipuri’

**(g) The Difference Between Realized and Unrealized Sentential Complements can be seen in the following pairs:**

The unrealized sentential complements assert a statement in each of the first pair (as in a).

The realized sentential complements (as in b) are distinguished formally from unrealized sentential complement by the presence of the case markers after the nominalized verb. The presence of the case marker indicates that the embedding is a realized one and shows the direct connection of the subordinate clause with the matrix clause.

It is obvious that the matrix clause is a result of the embedded clause. The notion of realized situations is specifiable in that they are temporally bounded, one-time occurrences.

14. a. cak yamnə cabə noy  
 rice QTF eat-NZR fat  
 Eating too much rice makes one fat.

14. b. əy cak yamnə cabə dəgi noy-re  
 I rice QTF eat-NZR-CAUS fat-ASP  
 I am fat because of eating too much rice.

15. a. əy t<sup>h</sup>əbək yamnə təwbə way  
 I work QTF do-NZR tired  
 Doing too much work makes me tired.

15. b. əy t<sup>h</sup>əbək yamnə təwbə dəgi wa-re  
 I work QTF do-NZR-CAUS tired-ASP  
 I am tired of doing too much work.

**(h) Purpose and Result Embeddings in Sentential Complements:**

(1) The purpose embeddings are instance of an unrealized event, an action that is not completed as yet. It is indicated by the future aspect marker *kəni~gəni* as shown in each of the first pair (as in *a*).

(2) The result embeddings are instance of a realized event, that is, the action is completed from an aspectual view. It is indicated by the presence of the causative markers ‘*dəgi*’ which is added to the nominalized verbal root as shown in each of the second pair (as in *b*). The notion of realized situations are specifiable in that they are temporarily bounded, one time occurrence.

16. a. məhak yen cabə lak-kəni  
 he chicken eat-NZR come-ASP  
 He will come to eat chicken.

16. b. məhak yen cabə dəgi na-re  
 he chicken eat-NZR-CAUS sick-ASP  
 He has fallen sick from eating chicken.

17. a. məhak layrik pabə lak-kəni  
 he book read-NZR come-ASP  
 He will come to study.

17. b. məhak layrik yamnə pabə dəgi wa-re  
 he book QTF read-NZR-CAUS tired-ASP  
 Reading too much made him tired.

18. a. məhak yu t<sup>h</sup>əkpə cət-kəni  
 he wine drink-NZR go-ASP  
 He will go for drinking.

18. b. məhak yu t<sup>h</sup>əkpə dəgi ŋaw-re  
 he wine drink-NZR-CAUS mad-ASP  
 He is high from drinking.

The causative markers ‘də’ and ‘gi’ can also be added to the nominalized verbal root in each of the second pair (b) above as shown below

19. məhak yen cabə də na-re  
 he chicken eat-NZR-CAUS sick-ASP  
 He fell sick from eating chicken.

20. məhak yen cabə gi na-re  
 he chicken eat-NZR-CAUS sick-ASP  
 He fell sick because of eating chicken.

**(i) The Sentential Complement Can Precede, Follow or Be Embedded into the matrix clause:**

**i) The sentential complement [raninə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə] precedes the matrix clause [əy khəŋŋi] as in**

21. [raninə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə] əy khəŋŋi  
 Rani-NOM red color want-NZR I know  
 I know that Rani likes red color.

**ii) The sentential complement [rani nə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə] can follow the matrix clause [əy khəŋŋi] as shown below**

22. əy khəŋŋi [rani nə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə]  
 I know Rani-NOM red color want-NZR  
 I know that Rani likes red color.

The use of this kind of sentential complement is less frequent.

iii) **The sentential complement** [rani nə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə] **can be embedded into the matrix clause** [ əy khəŋŋi] as shown below

23. əy [rani nə əŋaŋbə məcu pambə] khəŋŋi  
 I Rani-NOM red color want-NZR know  
 I know that Rani likes red color.

(j) **There are two main types of quotative complementizers, namely ‘haybə’ and ‘haynə’**; these two complementizers have been derived from the verb hay ‘say’ through the addition of the adverbial suffix ‘-nə’ and the infinitive suffix ‘-bə’ respectively.

Complements ending in ‘haybə’ refer to a fact (a state, process or action) whereas the ones ending in ‘haynə’ refer to a statement. The use of these complementizers involves certain pre-suppositional differences.

**(I) Complementation by the Use of the Quotative Complementizer ‘haybə’:**

The more general nominalizer which corresponds more closely to the relative clause nominalization is a fully inflected finite clause nominalization by the quotative complementizer ‘haybə’. The quotative turns the entire clause into an attribute, which then can be used to make reference to either the subject or the object (depending on which argument is made the head of the noun phrase).

24. cawbə nə cawbi pamjəy haybə əy k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi  
 Chaoba-NOM Chaobi like QTV I know  
 I know that Chaoba likes Chaobi.

25. əy ca kərəmnə sem-gəni haybə k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi  
 I tea how make-ASP QTV know  
 I know how to make tea.

26. məhak kərəmnə tusəl-li haybə əy k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi  
 he how to fall -ASP QTV I know  
 I know how he fell down.



### The Quotative ‘haybə’ is Used to Infer an Assertion:

- (i) When speaker disagrees or wants to intentionally challenge an earlier statement made by someone else as in the following *examples*.

27. ma nə məp<sup>h</sup>əm ədu p<sup>h</sup>əjəy haybə əranbə-ni  
he-NOM place DET nice QTV wrong-COP  
His saying that the place is nice is wrong.

28. ma nə deli də təm-mi haybə təwsinnəbə-ni  
he-NOM Delhi-LOC study-ASP QTV deceit-COP  
His saying that he studies in Delhi is a deceit.

- (ii) When speaker wants to show agreement to an earlier statement made by someone else as in the following *examples*.

29. ma nə məp<sup>h</sup>əm ədu p<sup>h</sup>əjəy haybə əcumbə-ni  
he-NOM place DET nice QTV true-COP  
His saying that the place is nice is true.

30. ma nə deli də təm-mi haybə əsejbə-ni  
he-NOM Delhi-LOC study-ASP QTV truth-COP  
His saying that he studies in Delhi is a truth.

- (iii) The agreement to a statement can be indicated by the addition of the lexical negator ‘nətte’ to the negative words as in the following *examples*.

31. ma nə deli də təm-mi haybə əranbə nətte  
he-NOM Delhi-LOC study-ASP QTV false-LNTR  
His saying that he studies in Delhi is a truth.

32. ma nə məpa doktər ni haybə məcin t<sup>h</sup>ibə nətte  
he-NOM father doctor-COP QTV lips lie-LNTR  
His saying that his father is a doctor is not a lie.

**The quotative complimentizer ‘haybə’ is used for showing off one’s ability when used with the emphatic marker ‘di’ as in the following examples.**

33. əy di sari kərəmnə set-kəni haybə k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi  
 I- EMP saree how wear-ASP QTV know  
 I know how to wear a saree.

34. əy di yen kərəmnə t<sup>h</sup>oŋ-gəni haybə k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi  
 I EMP hen how cook-ASP QTV know  
 I know how to cook chicken.

**(II) Use of the Quotative Complimentizer ‘haynə’:**

The use of the quotative complimentizer ‘haynə’ refer to a statement as shown in the following examples.

35. əy cət-kəni haynə wasək-k<sup>h</sup>i  
 I go-ASP QTV promise-ASP  
 I promised that I would be going.

36. cawbə nə cawbi pəmjəy haynə hay  
 Chaoba-NOM Chaobi like QTV say  
 Chaoba says that he likes Chaobi.

37. mə yen kərəmnə t<sup>h</sup>oŋ-gəni k<sup>h</sup>əŋŋi haynə hay  
 he chicken how cook-ASP know QTV say  
 He says he knows how to make chicken.

**(III) Alternate Use of Quotative Complimentizer ‘haybə’ and ‘haynə’:**

38. əy ŋa cabə pam-mi haynə mək<sup>h</sup>oy də k<sup>h</sup>əŋhən-k<sup>h</sup>i  
 I fish eat-NZR want-ASP QTV they-EMP let know -ASP  
 I made them know that I want to eat fish.

39. əy    ɲa    cabə    pam-mi    haybə    mək<sup>h</sup>oy də    k<sup>h</sup>əŋhən-k<sup>h</sup>i  
 I    fish    eat-NZR    want-ASP    QTV    they-EMP    let know-ASP  
 I made them know that I want to eat fish.

**(IV) Use of Quotative Complimentizer ‘haybə’ and ‘haynə’ in a Single Statement:**

The two complementizers can be used in a single embedding when asserting a statement on behalf of someone else absence. In such a case, it is obligatory that the quotative complementizer ‘haynə’ can only precede the quotative complementizer ‘haybə’ as shown below.

40. ma    p<sup>h</sup>urit    ədu    pam-mi    haynə    haybə    əy    k<sup>h</sup>əŋɲi  
 he    shirt    DET    want-ASP    QTV    QTV    I    know  
 I know that he says that he likes the shirt.

41. ma    deli də    cət-kəni    haynə    haybə    əy    k<sup>h</sup>əŋɲi  
 he    delhi-LOC    go-ASP    QTV    QTV    I    know  
 I know that he says that he would go to Delhi.

42. ma    ca    t<sup>h</sup>ək-kəni    haynə    haybə    ni  
 he    tea    drink-ASP    QTV    QTV-COP  
 He says that he would drink tea.

43. ma    lak-kəni    haynə    haybə    ni  
 he    come-ASP    QTV    QTV-COP  
 He says that he would come.

44. ma    cətpə    yawni    haynə    haybə    ni  
 he    go-NZR    join    QTV    QTV    COP  
 He says that he would be going along.

45. ma ca-gəni haynə haybə ni  
 he eat-ASP QTV QTV-COP  
 He says that he would eat.

## Conclusion

This paper is essentially an exploratory analysis of Sentential Complementation in Manipuri. These preliminary findings will hopefully serve as a basis for advancement of further studies.

---

## Abbreviations

- ACC : Accusative  
 ASP : Aspect  
 COP : Copula  
 CAUS: Causative  
 DET : Determiner  
 EMP : Emphatic  
 GEN : Genitive  
 INST : Instrumental  
 LOC : Locative  
 LNTR : Lexical Negator  
 NEG : Negative  
 NOM : Nominative  
 NZR : Nominalizer  
 QTF : Quantifier  
 QTV : Quotative
- 

## References

- Yashwanta Ch.** (2000) 'Manipuri Grammar', Rajesh Publication, New Delhi.  
**Chomsky, Noam** (1970). 'Remarks on nominalizations. In Roderick A. Jacobs and  
 peters. Rosenbaum (eds).

**Crystal, David** (1985) 'A First dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics.' Service  
Book Syndicate, New Delhi.

=====

Dhanapati Shougrakpam, Ph. D.  
Department of Linguistics  
Manipur University  
Canchipur  
Imphal – 795 003  
Manipur  
India  
[dippi1117@yahoo.co.in](mailto:dippi1117@yahoo.co.in)